

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO). FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/764,255		01/23/2004	Jeffrey P. Watry	GILL/PEDAL 8024 MARKINGS	
7723	7590	08/26/2005	•	EXAMINER	
PHILIP L	BATEMA	N	DONNELLY, JEROME W		
P O BOX 1105 DECATUR, IL 62525				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3764	

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/764,255	WATRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. o (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	:						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	•					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 1/2 4 and 5 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application brity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	A						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/764,255

Art Unit: 3764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Newton in view of Outlaw.

Newton discloses a device starting block comprising a rail, a pair of foot assemblies, each foot assembly comprising a foot pad having a width of about 5 inches and markings fifty.

The examiner considers the width of about 5 inches as standard width in the art and as an obvious selection in the width of starting blocks given the width of a standard foot and shoe.

In regard to claim 4 note that the markings are indented into the surface of the pads. The examiner further notes that to mold the pad of Newton Jr. is a known method of manufacture and an obvious process known in the art of producing components in the art.

Newton however fails to disclose his device as including markings in metric or English.

Outlaw however discloses a device comprising two foot platforms (12) with indicia (22) which is used to direct an athlete in the placement of their feet.

Given the above teaching of Outlaw of providing an athlete markings on a foot platform for the purpose of aiding in the training of the athlete. The examiner notes that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide units of measurement on the foot platforms/pedals of Newton Jr. for the purpose of aiding in the training of the user/athlete of using and training on the device of Newton Jr. As to the units being metric or English the

Application/Control Number: 10/764,255

Art Unit: 3764

examiner notes that the difference between a metric unit and an English unit is not considered as a patentably distinguishable feature in the art.

The subject matter of claim 5 previously indicated as being allowable has been reviewed by at least two primary examiners in the art and under further review it has been determined that the claim is no longer considered as allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME W. DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER